

**To:** CN=Don Waye/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]  
**Cc:** CN=Alan Henning/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;allison.castellan@noaa.gov;CN=Jayne Carlin/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Robert Goo/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[];  
 llison.castellan@noaa.gov;CN=Jayne Carlin/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Robert Goo/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Jayne Carlin/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Robert Goo/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Robert Goo/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]  
**From:** CN=David Powers/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US  
**Sent:** Wed 10/5/2011 8:14:13 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Oregon's Coastal Nonpoint Management Area & its draft TMDL IP guidance  
 (embedded image)  
 (embedded image)

Don - The Draft TMDL Guidance map correctly defines the approved boundary with the entire Rogue and Umpqua included...the Columbia River is only included up to Puget Island. Even though the Columbia River is tidal further upstream all the way to the Bonneville Dam, the City of Portland lobbied and was successful in having the CMZA boundary moved further down river from Portland, so it would not be subject to CZMA related requirements. The top map you provided goes up the Columbia River all the way to the Bonneville Dam and includes a piece of either the Sandy River or Lower Willamette River Basin, but not all of the Rogue and Umpqua Basing.

The changed boundary is somewhat irrelevant from a TMDL implementation plan guidance perspective because the State is proposing to do similar implementation ready TMDLs in all of the Columbia Basin watersheds to address the State's new WQS stds. for toxics (with a focus on reducing sediment inputs). The new toxics stds. are much more stringent than other States and were developed in response to higher Tribal fish consumption rates. To meet the new WQS, significant land management controls will be needed. The same Plaintiff in the CZARA lawsuit (NWEA) also brought the suit in Columbia Basin on the old toxics WQS. So NWEA is tracking both efforts.

---

David Powers  
 Regional Manager for Forests and Rangelands  
 USEPA Region 10, OOO  
 805 SW Broadway, Suite 500  
 Portland, OR 97205  
 503-326-5874  
 powers.david@epa.gov

**From:** Don Waye/DC/USEPA/US  
**To:** allison.castellan@noaa.gov, Robert Goo/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jayne Carlin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Cc:** Alan Henning/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, David Powers/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Date:** 10/05/2011 09:26 AM  
**Subject:** Oregon's Coastal Nonpoint Management Area & its draft TMDL IP guidance

All,

I am wondering about the applicability of Oregon's Guidance for developing TMDL Implementation Plans in its coastal region. The GIS map layer that I obtained from NOAA about 9 years ago shows Oregon's 6217 management area looking like the first screen shot, yet the cover of OR's draft guidance shows a different area (2nd screenshot). I know many states have smaller CZM areas than coastal nonpoint management areas. Do any of you know what's the case for Oregon?

Don Wayne  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Nonpoint Source Control Branch (OWOW/AWPD)

USPS Mailing Address:	Office (FedEx/UPS delivery):
Mail Code 4503T	Room 7417H
1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW	1301 Constitution Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20460	Washington, DC 20004

Phone: (202) 566-1170	Fax: (202) 566-1331
Email: <a href="mailto:wayne.don@epa.gov">wayne.don@epa.gov</a>	Website: <a href="http://epa.gov/nps">epa.gov/nps</a>